



January 23, 2023

Mr. Dayne Doucet
Consolidated Mining Permit Lead
Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries
Mineral Land Regulation & Reclamation
229 Broadalbin St SW
Albany, Oregon 97321

RE: Submittal of Documents in Response to Comments 380-382, 384-386 in October 20, 2022, Comments for the Consolidated Permit Application, Grassy Mountain Mine Project

Dear Mr. Doucet:

This letter accompanies the submittal of the documents listed below in response to comments in the October 20, 2022, Comments for the Consolidated Permit Application.

- Comments 380, 381, and 382:
 - Reclamation Polygons Revised 20221212.zip
 - Reclamation Contours Revised 20221212.zip
 - Disturbance Polygons Revised 20221212.zip
- Comment 384:
 - Production and Monitoring Wells Shapefile Revised 20230119.zip
- Comments 385 and 386:
 - GMM CPA Figures 1-6 20221222.pdf

Document submittal records for these files are attached with corresponding comment numbers. Please see the “Revised Response to Comments (Jan 2023)” information, as applicable, for your consideration.

Please contact me at (775) 625-3600, glen@paramountnevada.com if you have questions or need clarification.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Glen van Treek', is written over a faint, stylized blue line graphic.

Glen van Treek
President
Calico Resources USA Corp./Paramount Gold Nevada Corp.
(775) 625-3600
glen@paramountnevada.com

Att: Document Submittal Records

Attachment
Document Submittal Records

Document Submittal:

Disturbance Polygons Revised 20221212.zip

Comment Number: 380		Category: 4	Status: None provided
Topic: Appendix D1 [Reclamation Plan]		CPA Reference: Disturbance_Polygons_20211201.shp	
Comment: DOGAMI Field = “Type” Attribute = “Concreate Pad”. Misspelled word Concrete			
Preliminary Response to Comment (Nov 2022): Spelling error has been corrected.			
Agency Comment (Jan 2023): N/A			
Revised Response to Comment (Jan 2023): N/A, document submittal only.			

Document Submittal

Reclamation Polygons Revised 20221212.zip

Reclamation Contours Revised 20221212.zip

Disturbance Polygons Revised 20221212.zip

Comment Number: 381		Category: 2	Status: C (Preliminary Resolved)
Topic: Appendix D1 [Reclamation Plan]		CPA Reference: Figures 3-9	
Comment: DOGAMI Reclamation contours not present in GIS data.			
Preliminary Response to Comment (Nov 2022): Topography will be added to the zip file.			
Agency Comment (Jan 2023): N/A			
Revised Response to Comment (Jan 2023): Shapefiles were updated with an additional description column, and revegetated and disturbance polygons are now separate files. Updated files include the following: • Reclamation Polygons Revised 20221212.zip • Reclamation Contours Revised 20221212.zip • Disturbance Polygons Revised 20221212.zip			

Document Submittal

Reclamation Polygons Revised 20221212.zip

Reclamation Contours Revised 20221212.zip

Disturbance Polygons Revised 20221212.zip

Comment Number: 382		Category: 2	Status: C (Preliminary Resolved)
Topic: Appendix D1 [Reclamation Plan]		CPA Reference: Figures 4-9	
Comment: DOGAMI Revegetated areas not present in GIS data.			
Preliminary Response to Comment (Nov 2022): These are found in the shapefile in the "Reclaim" field.			
Agency Comment (Jan 2023): N/A			
Revised Response to Comment (Jan 2023): Shapefiles were updated with an additional description column, and revegetated and disturbance polygons are now separate files. Updated files include the following: • Reclamation Polygons Revised 20221212.zip • Reclamation Contours Revised 20221212.zip • Disturbance Polygons Revised 20221212.zip			

Document Submittal

Production and Monitoring Wells Shapefile Revised 20230119.zip

Comment Number: 384		Category: 2	Status: C (Preliminary Resolved)
Topic: Well Field Design Report		CPA Reference: Production and Monitoring Wells Shapefile 20211221.shp	
Comment: DOGAMI Well name attributes do not match Well Field Design Report.			
Preliminary Response to Comment (Nov 2022): The shapefile has been updated to include the matching names.			
Agency Comment (Jan 2023): N/A			
Revised Response to Comment (Jan 2023): Wells have been renamed in the “WellName” column of the attribute table to match figures 8 and 9 of the "Well Field Design Report 2019-07."			

Document Submittal:

GMM CPA Figures 1-6 20221222.pdf

Comment Number: 385		Category: 2	Status: C (Preliminary Resolved)
Topic: CPA Consistency		CPA Reference: Maps or Figures	
Comment: DOGAMI In line all maps are referred to as Map 1, 2; however, maps 1,2, & 6 are identified as figures on the map.			
Preliminary Response to Comment (Nov 2022): Text in title blocks have been updated to maps instead of figures.			
Agency Comment (Jan 2023): N/A			
Revised Response to Comment (Jan 2023): N/A, document submittal only.			

Document Submittal:

GMM CPA Figures 1-6 20221222.pdf

Comment Number: 386		Category: 4	Status: B (Not Resolved)
Topic: CPA Figures		CPA Reference: Map/Figure 6	
Comment: DOGAMI The symbology(line width) used for estimated flood hazard area misrepresents the presence of flood areas in the vicinity of the project. Outline width obscures small features.			
Preliminary Response to Comment (Nov 2022): The flood area is not made up of a line feature where the width can be adjusted. The area is based on two polygon layers (FEMA's 2017 Estimated Flood Hazard Area and 1984, 86, and 87 Effective Flood Hazard Area)			
Agency Comment (Jan 2023): N/A			
Revised Response to Comment (Jan 2023): The following edits have been made to help increase the legibility of the flood area within the figure: • The Flood Area’s outline has been turned off so only the polygon fill can be seen. • The Flood Area’s color has been changed to a darker blue to help it stand out more in the figure. • The transparency of the base map has been increased from 0% to 20% to help contrast with the features being shown.			